



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

# ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



*The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –*

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.45, No.13

April 10th, 2009

## The blessings of Holy Week 2009 to All our Readers

**Open letter to Kevin Rudd, MP:** Dear Prime Minister, reference is made to your comment regarding our constitutional arrangements made in London on Sunday, 29 March, 2009, and reported by AAP and other media in which you stated: "Australia would become a republic ..."

You would be well aware that, at the 1999 referendum, 54.87% of the electorate voted against a republic. A massive majority in electoral terms, particularly when compared with your own (Labor Party) vote of only 43.4% in 2007!

May I point out to you, Prime Minister, that neither you, your Government, your Party or even the Parliament as a whole, have any authority whatsoever to change our Constitution. Only the people at referendum can do this.

To say that: "Australia WOULD become a republic..." is both arrogant and dismissive of the will of the people. As Prime Minister you represent the Nation, not just your own opinions, and you have no right to determine in advance what the people will do and we would ask that you desist in making such statements in such a manner again, particularly when representing Australia overseas.

– Philip Benwell, National Chairman, Australian Monarchist League, March 2009

**"WHAT IS WRONG WITH YOU – WHEN DID YOU STOP BEING MEN?"** – Obama Investigation: from *American Free Press*, March 2009. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacturing, are afraid of somebody, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere, so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it."

– President Woodrow Wilson, Circa, 1913

Was Barack Obama ever eligible to be president of the United States? Have he and his wife committed massive tax fraud? Has he perjured himself? Has a force been at work on his personal documents? These are just a few questions feisty lady lawyer, Orly Taitz, recently demanded Supreme Court Justice John Roberts to address.

**In this issue:** • *Open Letter To Kevin Rudd MP* • *"What Is Wrong With You – When Did You Stop Being Men?"* • *Secret Lodge Talks: Rudd And Chinese Minister* • *Consumer Spending Is Quite Another Matter*

"ON TARGET"

April 10th, 2009

Page 1 (49)

When Chief Justice John Roberts opened the floor to questions following a recent speech at the University of Idaho, he and 5,000 people finally heard the truth about an attorney's frustrated attempts to have the court force purported President Obama to prove his natural born American citizen status. When the audience was told after the lecture that they could ask questions, Russian-born Dr. Orly Taitz, the southern California lawyer handling the suit filed by former presidential candidate Alan Keyes *et al*, was the first to run for the microphone.

"I left home at three o'clock in the morning and flew and drove thousands of miles to talk to you and ask you a question," she said to the chief justice. "Are you aware that there is criminal activity going on in the Supreme Court of the United States? I have submitted my *Lightfoot vs. Bowen* case to you. You agreed to hear it in the conference of all nine justices on January 23.

"Your clerk, Danny Bickle, on his own accord refused to forward to you an important supplemental brief that he has hidden from you and refused to post on the docket. Additionally, my case was completely erased from the docket one day after the inauguration, only two days before it was supposed to be heard in the conference. Outraged citizens had to call and demand for it to be posted. I saw Justice (Antonin) Scalia, and he had absolutely no knowledge of my case that was supposedly heard in conference on January 23.

It is inexplicable, particularly knowing that roughly half a million American citizens have written to him and to you, Justice Roberts, demanding that you hear this issue of eligibility of Barack Hussein Obama, *aka* Barry Soetoro, to be the president of the United States."

At this point she showed Roberts a stack of papers – her pleadings and printouts containing the names of some 350,000 people who had signed the petition, and the chief justice, lassoed with no comfortable avenue of escape, surrendered.

I will read your documents," he said. "Give them to my Secret Service Agent and I will review them." Taitz had a full suitcase of documents which she then handed to a Secret Service Agent who showed his ID, introduced himself as Gilbert Shaw and promised her that Justice Roberts would get them.

The tenacious Orly Taitz had done her homework well. In addition to 3,300 pages of the signees of the petition, were a motion to reconsider *Lightfoot vs. Bowen*, with all the supplemental briefs, and a copy of *Quo Warranto Easterling et al vs. Obama et al*, the challenge by military officers of Obama's qualifications to be their commander-in-chief.

In addition, she included a 164-page dossier and all the other documents sent to Eric Holder, attorney general, describing suspected criminal activity associated with Obama and his supporters. It described a whole campaign of cyber crimes, intimidation, harassment, defamation and assassination of character, and the mysterious impersonation of her and U.S. Army officer Scott Easterling by persons unknown. It showed screen shots of information being erased from the docket of the Supreme Court and contained information of court cases being created and fabricated in order to commit voter fraud and sway public opinion.

The package also contained the astounding list of 100 addresses for Barack Obama with numerous different Social Security numbers, issued all over the country and attached to those addresses.

It showed the address Obama used in Somerville, Mass., attached to the Social Security of a man who, if still living, is 118 years old. It showed evidence of Obama committing perjury, lying under

oath. It had his school registration from Indonesia under the name Barry Soetoro, citizen of Indonesia, religion: Muslim. Right after this page there was a page of Obama's registration to become an attorney and office of the court in Illinois, where he stated under oath that his name is Barack Hussein Obama and he had no other prior names. It contained a report from federal agent Steven Coffman, stating that there are numerous signs of forgery in his Selective Service certificate.

Furthermore, the dossier contained a letter from renowned expert Sandra Line, stating that there are signs of forgery in Obama's short version "Certification of Live Birth," and the original birth certificate needs to be reviewed in order to ascertain his status.

It contained 130 current job positions for Barry Obama, Barack H. Obama and Michelle Obama - but none of them was reported on the Obama's tax returns.

All of these documents suggest possible massive tax fraud, corruption of a public official, bribery and massive campaign contributions fraud, whereby large campaign contributions – well over allowed limits – were reported as fictitious positions with different companies, not surprisingly involving most mainstream media outlets.

As one of the announcers introduced Roberts, he stated that Roberts has his priorities straight. He described an event when Roberts missed most of a reception because he wanted to be there for his young son, at the sports tournament where his son was participating. He described Roberts as a caring and loving father.

"I missed time with my children, too," says Taitz, "because I am criss-crossing this country talking to justices of the Supreme Court, representatives, senators, FBI agents, attorney generals, U.S. attorneys; asking all of them, "What is wrong with you? Did some evil magician put a spell on the men in this country and you stopped being men? Why are you afraid to speak up, to stand up for your Constitution?" At least now everybody at that meeting knows the truth and knows that the leftist media thugs, such as the Seattle *Washington Observer* shamelessly twists the truth to fit their pro-Obama, blind idiot agenda.

"I hope Justice Roberts teaches his son by example and not by empty words," she said.

**SECRET LODGE TALKS: RUDD AND CHINESE MINISTER** by James Reed: Kevin Rudd met with the propaganda chief of the Chinese Communist Party, Li Changchun, in "secret" ("Chinese Walls: PM Keeps Lodge Talks Secret", *The Australian*, 24/3/09, pp.1-2). Once upon a time mere mention of the phrase "propaganda chief of the Chinese Communist Party" would produce alarm, but in this brain-dead age, not any more.

It's no secret that China is wanting to push through a flood of Foreign Investment Review Board decisions, that if successful will allow the Chinese to pretty much own Australia's mineral resources. We will have a "nation", if you can call it that, which is just a hole in the ground.

Rudd kept quiet about the meeting, and not even a day had passed before Rudd was arguing on China's behalf that China should be given a central role to play in the global financial system. Rudd promised that he would promote China's role in the IMF, for an elevated status, at the recent G20 meeting in London.

Why keep such an important meeting secret from the Australian public? Rudd's spokeswoman said: "It was a private meeting between the two. It is not the Prime Minister's role to put out a press release

announcing what every visiting politician is doing." Sorry, but when last I looked, Rudd was being paid from our taxes to be our prime minister, an Australian prime minister not a Chinese puppet! He was elected on a platform of being a social democrat, and that entails transparency in government.

Greg Sheridan ("China's Iron-fisted PR", *The Australian*, 26/3/09, p.12), hardly a critic of the Asianisation of Australia, said that Rudd's encounter was "one of the most bizarre episodes of his prime ministership" and certainly "more stupid than sinister." Sheridan then said that after the secret meeting Rudd went on to promote the Chinese government but Sheridan doesn't believe that "Rudd is doing the bidding of the Chinese government", but nothing is more likely to reinforce such an interpretation than the weird behaviour regarding Li's meeting."

**Sheridan to his credit says:** "China's belief that it can simply assert its position, no matter how obviously ridiculous, and Australians will ultimately accept it is disconcerting... The absolute and deafening silence of the Opposition... on this or any other issue that demands a sense of values or of geostrategic direction means the debate is not joined in our political process. This whole dynamic should be the subject of vigorous, freewheeling debate and searching media scrutiny."

It is not, in my view, because of the universal acceptance of the policy of Asianisation which necessarily produces a slave mentality. Both the media and Rudd and the Opposition have a slave mentality to China. They want to lose their identity and be absorbed into the big bowl of rice that is China.

**CONSUMER SPENDING IS QUITE ANOTHER MATTER** by Wallace Klinck, Canada: "The Devalued Prime Minister of a Devalued Government" ([Telegraph.co.uk](http://Telegraph.co.uk)): "Dear Mr. Hannan MEP : A correspondent just forwarded to me a video of your parliamentary criticism of U.K. Prime Minister Gordon Brown. I certainly agree that one cannot borrow one's way out of debt but consumer spending is quite another matter and is entirely necessary to the process of producers recovering their financial costs. The assumption of your statement seems to be the usual one (accepted I am sure also by Gordon Brown as by nearly all others, quite erroneously) that spending can only be accomplished by earned income and/or borrowing, i.e., increased indebtedness. This is a complete and major fallacy. The problem is that the price-system is not self-liquidating financially. The consumers as a whole do not receive sufficient unencumbered financial income to access the total of consumer product in each cycle of production.

This deficiency increases exponentially with the displacement of labour by technology, i.e., by physical capital in combination with historical accumulated knowledge. The problem arises consequent to an accumulating error in national cost accountancy and can be quite easily remedied from a technical aspect - although for the worst of reasons this seems more difficult from a political and philosophical standpoint. I am forwarding to you some comments pertaining to the current so-called credit "crisis" and more enduring issues - comments made in exchange with other of my correspondents. Included are some relevant websites and several pertinent attachments being documents in PDF format. If civilization had heeded the analysis and Social Credit advice of the late Clifford Hugh Douglas instead of the misguided (or mischievous) social debt policies of the late John Maynard Keynes, we would today be living in a state of relative abundance with increasing leisure in an environment of international peace--conditions which should obtain in all modern and civilized industrialized states. As the British author Eric de Mare asked at the conclusion of his book *A Matter of Life or Debt* (U.S. Edition, 1991), "Are we to vanish from the earth without even a whimper of protest against the cause of that possible tragedy? Nothing in the world will, or can, go right until we first get our money sums right."